	Case 2:20-cv-02383-TLN-AC Documen	nt 129 Filed 12/18/23 Page 1 of 2	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	ROBBIE D. WHITE,	No. 2:20-cv-02383 TLN AC PS	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
14	CITY AND COUNTY OF WEST SACRAMENTO, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	D1 : .:		
18	Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pro se. The action was accordingly referred to the		
19	undersigned for pretrial matters by E.D. Cal. R. ("Local Rule") 302(c)(21). This action was		
20	commenced on December 1, 2020. ECF No. 1. Though it has been pending for nearly three		

Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pro se. The action was accordingly referred to the undersigned for pretrial matters by E.D. Cal. R. ("Local Rule") 302(c)(21). This action was commenced on December 1, 2020. ECF No. 1. Though it has been pending for nearly three years, the case has progressed little. Plaintiff has been intermittently represented by counsel; the most recent counsel withdrew on May 23, 2023. ECF No. 105. On October 23, 2023, defendants filed a motion to compel discovery responses, set to be heard on November 29, 2023. ECF No. 124. Plaintiff did not file an opposition or statement of non-opposition. Concerned that plaintiff had abandoned this case, the court issued an order to show cause within 14 days why this action should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. ECF No. 128. Plaintiff was cautioned that failure respond would lead to a recommendation that the action be dismissed. Plaintiff was specifically cautioned that, due to the length of time this case has been pending, the court would

## recommend dismissal be with prejudice. Plaintiff again did not respond. Plaintiff has not responded to the court's orders, nor taken any action to prosecute this case. Therefore, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed, with prejudice, for lack of prosecution and for failure to comply with the court's order. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); Local Rule 110. These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to this case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty-one (21) days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. Such document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Local Rule 304(d). Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). DATED: December 18, 2023 Muson Clane UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Case 2:20-cv-02383-TLN-AC Document 129 Filed 12/18/23 Page 2 of 2